

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcumdria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 9726 09/519,282 03/07/2000 Daniel E Lenoski 97437 **EXAMINER** 26327 7590 07/09/2004 THE LAW OFFICE OF KIRK D. WILLIAMS MOORE, IAN N 1234 S. OGDEN ST. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DENVER, CO 80210 2661 DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a innal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) at intely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE IRRST REPLY WAS TILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REEZITION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee to ease been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE RIFST REPLY WAS TELED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). TOFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally section fee under the period of the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. The proposed amendment of the purposes of the shortened statutory period for reply originally section fee under the place of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent time disjustment. See 37 CFR 1.130(a) to avoid dismissal of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amend patent time disjustment. See 37 CFR 1.130(a) to avoid dismissal of the final rejection, even if timely filed amendment (s) will not be entered because: (a) I they praise new issues that would require further consideration				
Examiner Ian N Moore Art Unit 2661	``	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Ian N Moore 2661	Advisory Action			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address — HE REPLY FILED 6/15/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this filed rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this filed rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this filed rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) a) We have provided the provided for the proper of the period for the filed is the date for purposes of distermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the filed is the date for purposes of distermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the filed. The experiod period is the continuous period for expirate period for expirate extension fee under period period period for experiod period for expirate extension fee under period period period period for experiod period period period period for experiod period per		Examiner	Art Unit	
The REPLY FILED 6/15/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) at timely filed amendment within places the application in an indication for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) **PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) *				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment within places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Yet the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, knower, will the statutory period for reply expires an: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, knower, will the statutory period for reply expires an: (1) the Advisory Action (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. See MPEP D. (1) the period for reply expires and the SM MONTHS for THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pariod of extensions and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. Properties are stationary period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in 1) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any surrand patent term duplustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). 1	THE REPLY FILED 6/15/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with set authory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Crifice action, or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any barned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Continuation Sheet. 6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.7.9.10.	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.7.9.10.13-18.21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.7.9.10.13-18.21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
A. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.7.9.10.13-18.21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.7.9.10.13-18.21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6,7.9.10.13-18.21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KENNETH VANDERPUYE				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. To purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,7,9,10,13-18,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: B. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KENNETH VANDERPLYE	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6,7,9.10,13-18,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KENNETH VANDERPUYE	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,7,9,10,13-18,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,7,9,10,13-18,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,7,9,10,13-18,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,7,9,10,13-18,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: KENNETH VANDERPUYE	Claim(s) rejected: 1,6,7,9,10,13-18,21 and 22.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) O. Other: KENNETH VANDERPUYE	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) O. Other: KENNETH VANDERPUYE	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
0. Other: KENNETH VANDERPUYE				
KENNETH VANDERPUYE	10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1 and 21, Sheu disclose the broadcast component, which is a component that utilizes broadcasting functionality. Since the claim does not specifically recites any specific feature or location of this broadcast component, examiner asserts that the broadcast component is a component or medium or element or link or line or bus which performs broadcasting, re-broadcasting, transmitting towards more than location and/or participate in broadcasting, i.e. Sheu's FIG. 3, a combined system of a plurality of switch fabric buses and PE unit. Also, per specification FIG. 4, broadcast component 425 is within switch element SE-2 412. Thus, according to the applicant's own specification, it is clear that the switch element SE-2 is not only a switch element, but also a broadcast component.

Regarding claim 22, examiner acknowledges that the typo graphical error made when referring to the name of the reference. However, the paragraph 1, page 7 of the final office action clearly states "...new claims 21,22, and 25 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheu (U.S, 5,848,227). Moreover, the rejected claim 22 cited FIG. 3, three switch fabric buses, BUS 30A-30C, connects to PE unit via BIU 44", and it should be understandable to one who is reviewing Sheu that the referred figure and rejection paragraph clearly states the examiner's interpretation.

Regarding claim 6. Sheu discloses a processing element inside the brouter, which route, bridge, and switched the data packets from plurality of inputs to outputs. Soloway discloses a switch, which route, bridge, and switch the data packets between input and outputs. Regardless of the name, both processing element and switch performs switching, bridging, and/or routing functionality. Regarding claim 13, Teraslinna discloses a plurality of interconnection networks, each of the plurality of interconnection networks coupled to each of the plurality of input components and to each of the plurality of output components (see FIG. 1, 2) since the claim limitation does not specifically recites any specific order and number of connection or components or network of how each component or connection or network connected in any specific order. Regarding the motivation for modifying Teraslinna in view of lino, the motivation is clearly stated in lino col. 2, line 25-30 and 35-40. lino also discloses the meaning of "weight" and size of the system. The weight of system refers the number of elements (i.e. switches, routers, and etc.) in the network. The more spare switches in the network, the bigger the size of the network will be. Thus, it is well known in the art that, the network operators reduces the weight of the network, by deploying less spare/idle components, thereby reducing the size of the network since there are less spare or idles switches, to buy and implement. The motavation for reducing the weight of the network by not utilizing spare component is well known in the art. An additional evidence can be found in newly cited art (Tanabe, U.S 5,471,460) Also, note that lino'115 teaches how to overcome a problem of not having a spare switch (i.e. in the absence of the spare switch) in the network by utilizing the routing control tables in order to by pass the malfunction switch. In addition, Teraslinna'990 teaches N+K switch network, where there are N working switches and K standby switches. Teraslinna'990 system does not disclose how to handle the packet switching when K equal to zero, that is, all standby switches are being used and there are no more available standby switches, and the failure/malfunction occurs in the network. Iino'115 teaches how to handle such scenario by utilizing the routing table in order to bypass failure/malfunction in the absence of the spare/standby switch or when there is no available spare/standby switch. Thus, lino'115 teaches how to overcome the de-efficiencies of Teraslinna 990, rather than teaching away from it.